UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AUSTIN DIVISION

SERVICE LLOYDS INSURANCE	§	
COMPANY,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
v.	§	1:19-CV-518-RP
	§	
NORTH AMERICAN RISK SERVICES,	§	
INC.		
	§ §	
Defendant/Third Party Plaintiff	§	
	§	
V.	§	
	§	
TEE & GEE UNDERWRITING	§	
MANAGERS, LP; CORECARE	§	
MANAGEMENT; and PRIME HEALTH	§	
SERVICES, INC.;	§	
	§	
Third Party Defendants	§	

SCHEDULING ORDER

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16, the following Scheduling Order is issued by the Court:

- A report on alternative dispute resolution in compliance with Local Rule CV-88 shall be filed on or before October 1, 2019.
- 2. The parties asserting claims for relief shall submit a written offer of settlement to opposing parties on or before January 15, 2020 and each opposing party shall respond, in writing, on or before January 31, 2020. All offers of settlement are to be private, not filed. The parties are ordered to retain the written offers of settlement and responses so the Court may use them in assessing attorney's fees and costs at the conclusion of the trial.

- 3. Each party shall complete and file the attached "Notice Concerning Reference to United States Magistrate Judge" on or before September 15, 2019.
- 4. The parties shall file all motions to amend or supplement pleadings or to join additional parties on or before March 15, 2020.
- 5. All parties asserting claims for relief shall file their designation of testifying experts and serve on all parties, but not file, the materials required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2)(B) on or before April 15, 2020. Parties resisting claims for relief shall file their designations of testifying experts and serve on all parties, but not file, the materials required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2)(B) on or before May 15, 2020. All parties shall file all designations of rebuttal experts and serve on all parties the material required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2)(B) for such rebuttal experts, to the extent not already served, 15 days from the receipt of the report of the opposing expert.
- 6. An objection to the reliability of an expert's proposed testimony under Federal Rule of
 Evidence 702 shall be made by motion, specifically stating the basis for the objection and
 identifying the objectionable testimony, within 11 days from the receipt of the written report
 of the expert's proposed testimony, or within 11 days from the completion of the expert's
 deposition, if a deposition is taken, whichever is later.
- 7. The parties shall complete all discovery on or before July 15, 2020.
- 8. All dispositive motions shall be filed on or before August 15, 2020 and shall be limited to 20 pages. Responses shall be filed and served on all other parties not later than 14 days after the service of the motion and shall be limited to 20 pages. Any replies shall be filed and served on all other parties not later than 7 days after the service of the response and shall be limited to 10 pages, but the Court need not wait for the reply before ruling on the motion.

9.	The Court will set this case for final	pretrial conference at a later time. The final pretrial		
	conference shall be attended by at lea	ast one of the attorneys who will conduct the trial for		
	each of the parties and by any unrepr	resented parties. The parties should consult Local Rule		
	CV-16(e) regarding matters to be filed in advance of the final pretrial conference.			
	The parties shall not complete the following paragraph. It will be completed by the			
	Court at the initial pretrial confere	ence to be scheduled by the Court.		
10.	This case is set for	trial commencing at 9:00 a.m. on		
		, 20		
	By filing an agreed motion, the parties may request that this Court extend any deadline set in			
	this Order, with the exception of the dispositive motions deadline and the trial date. The			
	Court may impose sanctions under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16(f) if the parties do			
	not make timely submissions under this Order.			
	SIGNED on	, 2019.		
		ROBERT PITMAN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
AGRE	EED:			
	nthony Icenogle ey for Plaintiff	/s/ Marty Schexnayder Attorney for Defendant		
	son Jobe ey for Third-Party Defendant	/s/ Josh Davis Attorney for Third-Party Defendant		
	Gee Underwriting Managers, LP	CoreCare Management		